



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,106	09/21/2001	Francois G. Moore	064731.0256	5113
5073	7590	07/11/2005	EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			MEHRA, INDER P	
2001 ROSS AVENUE			ART UNIT	
SUITE 600			PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			2666	

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/961,106

Applicant(s)

MOORE ET AL.

Examiner

Inder P. Mehra

Art Unit

2666

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 September 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6-8,12-14 and 18-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3-5,9-11 and 15-17 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/4/2001.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to application dated 9/21/2001

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 7, 13, and 19-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Czerwiec et al** (US Patent No. 6,847,702), hereinafter ‘702.

For claims 1, 7, 13, and 19-20, ‘702 discloses in reference to figs. 2, 6, and 10, “a method for test head 160 testing of a connection in a synchronous optical network (SONET) element (refer to “Sonet 1 (OC3)” in fig. 1., col. 4 lines 45-55, comprising:

- dedicating an otherwise assignable output port of a SONET network element as a test access port, figs. 8 and 43 in fig. 9;
- receiving a request to connect a connection switched by a switch fabric of the SONET network element to the test access port, refer to col. 9 lines 28-32;
- determining whether the request is associated with a test head, refer to col. 9 lines 10-17; and

Art Unit: 2666

- if the request is associated with the test head, provisioning the switch fabric to connect the connection to the test access port, refer to col. 9 lines .

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ‘702, as above, in view of Tyree (US Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0120853) .

For claims 2, 8 and 14, ‘702 discloses all the limitations of subject matter with the exception of the following limitations, which have been disclosed by Tyree, as follows:

- if the request is not associated with the test head, denying the request, refer to paragraph 0032..

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use the capability of “if the request is not associated with the test head, denying the request” as taught by. The capability can be implemented by connecting Test head to switch fabric manager. The motivation for using this capability is to distinguish between valid and invalid users.

Art Unit: 2666

6. Claims 6, 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over '702, as above, in view of **Wickham** (US Patent No. 6,370,154) .

For claims 6, 12 and 18, '702 discloses all the limitations of subject matter with the exception of the following limitations, which have been disclosed by Wickham, as follows:

- wherein the request is a TL-I command, refer to col. 7 lines 52-67.

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to use the capability of "wherein the request is a TL-I command" as taught by.

The capability can be implemented by connecting Test head to switch fabric manager.

The motivation for using this capability is to distinguish between valid and invalid users.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-5, 9-11, and 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Prior Art of Record

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

* Chidambaran et al (US Application Publication No. 2002/0141344) discloses method for controlled switchover of unicast and multicast data flows in packet based switching system.

Art Unit: 2666

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Inder P. Mehra whose telephone number is 571-272-3170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 571-272-3174. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Inder Pal Mehra

Inder P Mehra
Examiner
Art Unit 2666

DM

DANG TON
PRIMARY EXAMINER